

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 213384US2RD 09/942,627 08/31/2001 Yuichi Ohsawa 9087 EXAMINER 09/08/2005 22850 7590 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. TUGBANG, ANTHONY D 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3729

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/942,627	OHSAWA ET AL.	OHSAWA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		A. Dexter Tugbang	3729		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess -	
WHI - Extended after services of the control of the	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some or period by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the received by the Offic	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
. 1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	17 June 2005			
• =		This action is non-final.	•		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	·	and the particular state of	,	,	
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	 4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🛛	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
· 0/	The specification is objected to by the Exar	minor			
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 01</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
10/23					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
441		· ·	•	` '	
ווי ו	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner, Note the attache	a Office Action or form PTO-	152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [Name 2]. Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer	Application No	age	
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.		
Attachmei	• •				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infoi	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sier No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/04, 7/23/04	B/08) 5) ∐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	
Patent and	Trademark Office ///27/01				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Unice PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050905

Application/Control Number: 09/942,627

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of the invention of Group II, Claims 27-28 in the reply filed on 6/17/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 21-26 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/17/05.

Drawings

3. Figure 64 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities. Claim 26 is directed to an invention that is non-elected. Since Claim 27 refers back to Claim 26, Claim 27 should be amended to include all of the limitations of Claim 26. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyauchi et al 5,274,521.

Miyauchi discloses magnetic disk unit (shown in Fig. 5Q) including a yoke type magnetic head as a reproducing head (see col. 2, lines 16+).

Miyauchi further teaches the final structure of the yoke type magnetic head includes: a current perpendicular to plane type magnetoresistance effect film 36; nonmagnetic films (insulating layers 61, 62); a projection (either one of g₁ or g₂); and a magnetic yoke 37.

It is noted that the method limitations have no impact on the final structure of the yoke type magnetic head. See MPEP § 2113.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Dexter Tugbang

Primary Examine

Art Unit 3729

September 5, 2005